ORDER SHEET

WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'bleMrs.UrmitaDatta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'bleMr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No <u>- OA-442 of 2019.</u>

Ayan Tapadar. Vs The State of West Bengal & Others.

| Serial No. and | Order of the Tribunal with signature | Office action with date |
|-----------------|--|---|
| Date of order.1 | 2 | and dated signature of parties when necessary 3 |
| 02 | For the Applicant : Mrs.S. Mitra, Advocate. | |
| 05-07-2019 | For the State Respondents : Mr. A. L. Basu, Advocate. | |
| | Affidavit of service has been filed be kept on record. | |
| | The instant application has been filed | |
| | praying for consideration of the client for | |
| | compassionate appointment. As per the | |
| | applicant his father died on 27-07-2005. | |
| | Thereafter the applicant approached the | |
| | authority for compassionate appointment and | |
| | the authority vide their communication dated | |
| | 17-11-2016 to the Secretary, Government of | |
| | West Bengal, Irrigation and | |
| | Waterways Department had recommended the | |
| | case of the applicant and requested the | |
| | Secretary to take decision in this regard. | |
| | However, according to the applicant, till date no | |

ORDER SHEET

| F | \cap | rr | n | N | ^ | |
|---|--------|----|---|----|---|--|
| | ш | | | ıv | | |

| Ayan | Tapadar |
|------|---------|
|------|---------|

Vs.The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No.OA-442 of 2019

| Case No. OA-442 of | 2019 | |
|--------------------|--|---|
| Serial No. and | Order of the Tribunal with signature | Office action with date |
| Date of order. | 2 | and dated signature of parties when necessary |
| 1 | | 3 |
| | decision has been communicated to him. Being | |
| | aggrieved with, he has filed the instant | |
| | application. | |
| | | |
| | During the course of the hearing the | |
| | counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted | |
| | that it would suffice his purpose if the | |
| | respondent no. 1 would be directed to take final | |
| | decision in this regard and communicate the | |
| | same within a stipulated period of time. | |
| | | |
| | The Counsel for the respondent has no | |
| | objection to such proposition. | |
| | | |
| | Heard both the parties and perused | |
| | the records. The Director, Personnel and Ex- | |
| | officio Chief Engineer, Irrigation and Waterways | |
| | Directorate had already forwarded the case of | |
| | the applicant vide his communication dated 17- | |
| | 11-2016 to the respondent no. 1 for his final | |
| | decision which is still pending before the | |
| | authority. Therefore, we direct the respondent | |
| | no. 1 to consider the case of the applicant as | |
| | per Rules and take decision as well as | |

ORDER SHEET

| | Ayan Tapadar |
|----------|--------------|
| Form No. | |

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

| Case No. <u>OA-442 of</u> | <u>f 2019</u> | , |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Serial No. and Date of order. 1 | Order of the Tribunal with signature 2 | Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3 |
| | communicate the same by way of reasoned and | |
| | speaking order within a period of 8(eight) weeks | |
| | from the date of receipt of this order. | |
| | Accordingly, the OA is disposed of | |
| | with the above observations with no order as to | |
| | cost. | |
| | P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J) | |
| Mihir | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |